arXiv:1407.8336v1 [math.CO] 31 Jul 2014

Induced Matchings in Graphs of Maximum Degree 4

Felix Joos*

Abstract

For a graph G, let $\nu_s(G)$ be the induced matching number of G. We prove the sharp bound $\nu_s(G) \geq \frac{n(G)}{9}$ for every graph G of maximum degree at most 4 and without isolated vertices that does not contain a certain blown up 5-cycle as a component. This result implies a consequence of the well known conjecture of Erdős and Nešetřil, saying that the strong chromatic index $\chi'_s(G)$ of a graph G is at most $\frac{5}{4}\Delta(G)^2$, because $\nu_s(G) \geq \frac{m(G)}{\chi'_s(G)}$ and $n(G) \geq \frac{m(G)\Delta(G)}{2}$. Furthermore, it is shown that there is polynomial-time algorithm that computes induced matchings of size at least $\frac{n(G)}{9}$.

Keywords: Induced matching; strong matching; strong chromatic index
AMS subject classification: 05C70, 05C15

1 Introduction

For a graph G, a set M of edges is an *induced matching* of G if no two edges in M have a common endvertex and no edge of G joins two edges in M. The maximum number of edges that form an induced matching in G is the *strong matching number* $\nu_s(G)$ *of* G.

Unlike the well investigated matching number [10], which can be determined in polynomial time [4], it is known that the computation of the strong matching number is NP-hard even in very restricted graph classes as for example bipartite subcubic graphs [2, 11, 13].

The chromatic index $\chi'(G)$ and the strong chromatic index $\chi'_s(G)$ are the least numbers k such that the edge set of G can be partitioned in k matchings and k strong matchings, respectively. While Vizing's Theorem gives $\chi'(G) \in \{\Delta(G), \Delta(G)+1\}$ [14] where $\Delta(G)$ is the maximum degree of G, no comparable result holds for the strong chromatic index. In fact, Erdős and Nešetřil [5] conjectured $\chi'_s(G) \leq \frac{5}{4}\Delta(G)^2$, which would be best-possible for even maximum degree and the graph obtained from a 5-cycle by replacing every vertex by an independent set of order $\frac{\Delta(G)}{2}$. In the case $\Delta(G) = 4$, we denote this graph by C_5^2. A simple greedy algorithm only gives $\chi'_s(G) \leq 2\Delta^2 - 2\Delta + 1$, and the best general result is due to Molloy and Reed who proved $\chi'_s(G) \leq 1.998\Delta(G)^2$ for sufficiently large maximum degree [12].

For subcubic graphs, Erdős and Nešetřil's conjecture was verified, to be precise $\chi'_s(G) \leq 10$ [1, 6]. For $\Delta(G) = 4$, Erdős and Nešetřil's conjecture claims $\chi'_s(G) \leq 20$ while the best known upper bound is 22 [3]. If $\Delta(G) \leq 4$, then their conjecture implies $\nu_s(G) \geq \frac{m(G)}{20}$.

*Institut für Optimierung und Operations Research, Universität Ulm, Ulm, Germany, e-mail: felix.joos@uni-ulm.de

In the present paper, I prove this consequence by showing $\nu_s(G) \geq \frac{n(G)}{10}$ if $\Delta(G) \leq 4$ and G has no isolated vertices; note that $\frac{m(G)}{20} \leq \frac{\Delta(G)n(G)}{40} \leq \frac{n(G)}{10}$. Furthermore, if G does not contain C_5^2 as a component, then the result can be strengthened to $\nu_s(G) \geq \frac{n(G)}{9}$. Both results are best possible. Moreover, since the proof is constructive, it is easy to extract a polynomial-time algorithm which computes induced matchings of the guaranteed size.

For subcubic planar graphs, Kang, Mnich and Müller [9] showed that $\nu_s(G) \geq \frac{m(G)}{9}$. This was improved by Rautenbach et al. [7] who proved $\nu_s(G) \geq \frac{m(G)}{9}$ for a subcubic graph G without $K_{3,3}^+$ as a component where $K_{3,3}^+$ is obtained from a 5-cycle by replacing the vertices by independent sets of orders $1, 1, 1, 2$, and 2, respectively; equivalently, $K_{3,3}^+$ is obtained from a $K_{3,3}$ by subdividing exactly one edge once. In particular, $\nu_s(G) \geq \frac{m(G)}{9} = \frac{n(G)}{6}$ for a cubic graph G. Recently, I proved $\nu_s(G) \geq \frac{n(G)}{(\lceil \frac{\Delta}{2} \rceil + 1)(\lfloor \frac{\Delta}{2} \rfloor + 1)}$ if G is a graph of sufficiently large maximum degree Δ and without isolated vertices [8].

Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1. *If G is a graph of maximum degree at most 4, then*

$$\nu_s(G) \geq \frac{n(G) - i(G) - n_5(G)}{9}$$

where $n_5(G)$ is the number of components of G that are isomorphic to C_5^2 and $i(G)$ is the number of isolated vertices of G.

Let G be a graph with $\Delta(G) \leq 4$. Since the graph C_5^2 has order 10, we obtain $n_5(G) \leq \frac{n(G)-i(G)}{10}$. Moreover, $\Delta(G) \leq 4$ implies $n(G) - i(G) \geq \frac{m(G)}{2}$. Therefore, $\nu_s(G) \geq \frac{m(G)}{20}$ and if $n_5(G) = 0$, then $\nu_s(G) \geq \frac{n(G)-i(G)}{9}$ and hence $\nu_s(G) \geq \frac{m(G)}{18}$.

In view of the graph C_5^2 and the graph obtained from a triangle by attaching two pendent vertices at every vertex, respectively, Theorem 1 is best-possible. However, I was not able to construct a graph G without a component isomorphic to C_5^2 such that $\nu_s(G) = \frac{m(G)}{18}$. Let H be the graph obtained from a 5-cycle by replacing the vertices by independent sets of orders $1, 1, 1, 3$, and 3, respectively. If G is the graph obtained from two disjoint copies of H by identifying the unique vertices of degree 2 in the two copies, then $\nu_s(G) = \frac{34}{17} = \frac{m(G)}{17}$.

Conjecture 2. *If G is a graph of maximum degree at most 4 and no component is isomorphic to C_5^2, then $\nu_s(G) \geq \frac{m(G)}{17}$.*

We use standard notation and terminology. For a graph G, let $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ be its vertex set and edge set, respectively. Let the *order* and the *size* of G be defined by $|V(G)|$ and $|E(G)|$, respectively. For a vertex v of G, let $d_G(v)$ be its degree, $N_G(v)$ be the set of neighbors of v, and $N_G[v] = N_G(v) \cup \{v\}$. If the graph is clear from the context, we only write $d(v)$, $N(v)$, and $N[v]$, respectively. If $d_G(v) = k$ holds for a non-negative integer k, then we say that v is a *degree-k* vertex in G. A set I of vertices of G is *independent* if no edge of G joins two vertices in I. Two edges e and f are independent if they do not share a common vertex and there is no edge that is adjacent to e and f. The rest of the paper is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.

2 Proof of Theorem 1

For a contradiction, we assume that G is a counterexample of minimum order. Since the statement of the theorem is linear in terms of the components, G is connected. It is easy to see that $n_5(G) = i(G) = 0$. By a sequence of claims, we establish several properties of G in order to derive a final contradiction. All claims follow a common pattern. We mark particular (pairwise independent) edges and delete all vertices S of G at distance at most 1 from these edges. We denote the resulting graph by G'. Note that $n_5(G') = 0$. By the choice of G, we know that $\nu_s(G') \geq \frac{1}{9}(n(G') - i(G'))$. Afterwards, we obtain a contradiction by considering a maximum induced matching of G' together with the marked edges of G; we only have to show that $|S| + i(G') \leq 9k$ where k is the number of marked edges. In all our cases k is 1 or 2. Throughout the proof we denote by I' the set of isolated vertices of G'. Note that a vertex in I' has all its neighbors in S.

Claim 1. *If v is a vertex of degree at least 2, then v is adjacent to at least two vertices of degree at least 2.*

Proof of Claim 1. For a contradiction, we assume that v is adjacent to at most one vertex w of degree at least 2. If w does not exist, then G is a path of order 3, which is a contradiction. Thus we may assume that w exists. Let u be a degree-1 vertex adjacent to v and we mark the edge uv. Recall that S is the set of vertices of G that are at distance at most 1 to some marked edge. Thus $|S| \leq 5$. Moreover, all isolated vertices of $G' = G - S$ are adjacent to w. This implies that $|S| + i(G') \leq 8$, which is a contradiction and completes the proof of Claim 1. □

Claim 2. *If u_1 and u_2 are distinct degree-1 vertices, then u_1 and u_2 do not have a common neighbor.*

Proof of Claim 2. Assume for a contradiction that v is the common neighbor of u_1 and u_2. By Claim 1, v has degree 4. Let w_1 and w_2 be the neighbors of v beside u_1 and u_2. We mark the edge u_1v. This implies that $|S| = 5$. By Claim 1, w_1 and w_2 are adjacent to at most two degree-1 vertices; that is, if $i(G') \geq 5$, then $i(G') = 5$, w_1 and w_2 are adjacent to two degree-1 vertices, respectively, and there is a degree-2 vertex adjacent to both w_1 and w_2; thus G is a graph of order 10 and $\nu_s(G) = 2$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $i(G') \leq 4$ and hence $|S| + i(G') \leq 9$, which is a contradiction. □

Claim 3. *If u_1 and u_2 are degree-1 vertices, then* $\mathrm{dist}(u_1, u_2) \neq 4$.

Proof of Claim 3. Assume for a contradiction that $\mathrm{dist}(u_1, u_2) = 4$ and let v_i be the neighbor of u_i for $i \in \{1, 2\}$. We mark u_1v_1 and u_2v_2 and hence $|S| \leq 9$. Note that, by Claim 2, there are at most five degree-1 vertices in $V(G) \setminus S$ adjacent to a vertex in S. Furthermore, there are at most 14 edges joining S and vertices of G'. Thus $i(G') \leq 9$ and hence $|S| + i(G') \leq 18$. □

Claim 4. $\delta(G) \geq 2$.

Proof of Claim 4. Assume for a contradiction that there is a degree-1 vertex u in G and let v be its neighbor. We mark uv. If $d_G(v) \leq 3$, then Claim 2 immediately implies $|S| + i(G') \leq 8$. Thus we may assume that w_1, w_2, w_3 are the neighbors of v beside u and hence $|S| = 5$.

Suppose $\{w_1, w_2, w_3\}$ is an independent set in G. By Claim 2 and 3, there is at most one degree-1 vertex in $V(G) \setminus S$ adjacent to a vertex in S. Since there are at most nine edges joining S and vertices of G', we have $i(G') \geq 5$ only if G is a graph of order 10 and exactly one degree-1 vertex and four degree-2 vertices are adjacent to w_1, w_2, w_3; this implies that $\nu_s(G) \geq 2 \geq \frac{n(G)}{9}$, which is a contradiction.

Suppose now that $G[\{w_1, w_2, w_3\}]$ is not a triangle. By Claim 2 and 3, there are at most two degree-1 vertices in $V(G) \setminus S$ adjacent to a vertex in S. Since there are at most seven edges joining S and vertices of G', we conclude $i(G') \leq 4$.

Suppose now that $G[\{w_1, w_2, w_3\}]$ is a triangle. By Claim 3, there are at most three degree-1 vertices in $V(G) \setminus S$ adjacent to a vertex in S. Since there are at most three edges joining S and vertices of G', we conclude $i(G') \leq 3$. □

Claim 5. *Degree-2 vertices are adjacent only to degree-4 vertices.*

Proof of Claim 5. We assume for a contradiction that u is a degree-2 vertex and v is a neighbor of u such that $d_G(v) \leq 3$. We mark uv and hence $|S| \leq 5$. This implies that at most nine edges join S and vertices of G'. By Claim 4, this implies $i(G') \leq 4$. □

Claim 6. *Every degree-4 vertex is adjacent to at most two degree-2 vertices.*

Proof of Claim 6. Assume for a contradiction that there is a degree-4 vertex v which has at least three neighbors of degree 2. Let u be one of these neighbors and mark uv. Thus $|S| \leq 6$. Note that at most eight edges join S and $V(G) \setminus S$. Since $i(G') \geq 4$ implies that all isolated vertices of G' have degree 2 in G. Thus a degree-2 vertex of S and a degree-2 vertex of I' share a common edge and this contradicts Claim 5. Thus we may assume that $i(G') \leq 3$ and so $|S| + i(G') \leq 9$, which is a contradiction. □

Claim 7. *Every degree-4 vertex is adjacent to at most one degree-2 vertex.*

Proof of Claim 7. Assume for a contradiction that there is a degree-4 vertex v with two neighbors u_1, u_2 of degree 2. Let w be the neighbor of u_1 beside v. We mark u_1v and hence $|S| \leq 6$. If $|S| \leq 5$, then there are at most six edges joining S and $V(G) \setminus S$ and hence $i(G') \leq 3$. Thus we may assume $|S| = 6$. For a contradiction, we assume that $i(G') \geq 4$. Note that at most 10 edges join S and I'. Suppose u_2 is adjacent to a vertex in I', then, by Claim 5, I' contains a vertex of degree 4. Thus I' contains three degree-2 vertices. However, w is adjacent to two of them and hence in total adjacent to at least three degree-2 vertices, which is a contradiction to Claim 6. Thus we may assume that u_2 is not adjacent to a vertex in I'. Note that I either contains four degree-2 vertices or three degree-2 vertices and one degree-3 vertex. In both cases w is adjacent to at least two degree-2 vertices in I', which is a contradiction to Claim 6. □

Claim 8. $\delta(G) \geq 3$.

Proof of Claim 8. For a contradiction, we assume that there is a degree-2 vertex u and if possible choose u to be contained in a C_4. Let v, w be the neighbors of u. We mark uv and hence $|S| \leq 6$. If $|S| \leq 5$, then at most eight edges join S and I', which implies that $i(G') \leq 4$, which is a contradiction. Thus we may assume $|S| = 6$. If the graph $G[S]$ has size at least 7, then there are at most eight edges joining S and I' and because w is only adjacent to vertices of degree at least 3 (Claim 7), we obtain $i(G') \leq 3$, which is a contradiction.

Suppose now that $G[S]$ is a graph of size 6. Hence at most 10 edges join S and I'. Assume for contradiction that $i(G') \geq 4$. If $i(G') \geq 5$, then Claim 7 yields the contradiction. Thus we assume that $i(G') = 4$ and hence I' contains at least two degree-2 vertices x, y. By Claim 7, x, y have distinct neighbors in S and hence w is adjacent to x or y. However, w is adjacent to u, which is a contradiction to Claim 7.

Thus we may assume that $G[S]$ is a graph of size 5; that is, $G[S]$ is a tree and thus u is not contained in a C_4. Moreover, by our choice of u, no degree-2 vertex is contained in a C_4. This implies that I' contains no degree-2 vertex because such a vertex cannot be adjacent to w (Claim 7) and if both neighbors in S are distinct from w, then it is contained in a C_4. Note that at most 12 edges join S and I'. If $i(G') \geq 4$, then $i(G') = 4$ and all vertices in I' are degree-3 vertices and all vertices in $S \setminus \{u, v\}$ are degree-4 vertices. Thus $n(G) = 10$ and $\nu_s(G) \geq 2$, which is a contradiction. □

Claim 9. *The set of degree-3 vertices is an independent.*

Proof of Claim 9. Assume for a contradiction that two degree-3 vertices u, v are adjacent. We mark uv. Note that $|S| \leq 6$ and at most 12 edges join S and I'. If $|S| + i(G') \geq 10$, then $|S| = 6$, $i(G') = 4$, all vertices in I' and $S \setminus \{u, v\}$ are degree-3 and degree-4 vertices, respectively, and $n(G) = 10$. It is easy to see that $\nu_s(G) \geq 2$, which is a contradiction. □

Claim 10. *No degree-3 vertex is contained in a triangle.*

Proof of Claim 10. Assume for a contradiction that a degree-3 vertex u is contained in a triangle $uvwu$. We mark uv. Note that $|S| \leq 6$ and at most 11 edges join S and I'. This implies that $i(G') \leq 3$. □

Claim 11. *G is not a graph of order 10 and minimum degree 3.*

Proof of Claim 11. We show that $\nu_s(G) \geq 2$ holds for every connected graph $G \neq C_5^2$ of order 10 with $\delta(G) = 3$ such that the set of degree-3 vertices form an independent set and every degree-3 vertex is not contained in a triangle.

Since the number of degree-3 vertices is even, we suppose first that there are two degree-3 vertices u_1, u_2. If $\text{dist}(u_1, u_2) \geq 4$, then $\nu_s(G) \geq 2$ trivially holds.

Note that for every edge xy, we may assume that the graph $G - (N[x] \cup N[y])$ is an independent set. Let v_1, v_2, v_3 be the neighbors of u_1.

Suppose $\text{dist}(u_1, u_2) = 3$. Let w_1, w_2, w_3 be the neighbors of v_1 beside u_1. We mark u_1v_1 and thus $S = N[u_1] \cup N[v_1]$. Since $\text{dist}(u_1, u_2) = 3$, we conclude $u_2 \notin S$ and hence $N(u_2) = \{w_1, w_2, w_3\}$. In order that $\{u_2w_i, u_1v_j\}$ for $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $j \in \{1, 2\}$ is not an induced matching of size two, we conclude that both v_2 and v_3 are adjacent to w_1, w_2, w_3. Thus at most six edges leave S but exactly 10 edges leave I towards S, which is a contradiction.

Suppose $\text{dist}(u_1, u_2) = 2$. By symmetry, let v_1 be a common neighbor of u_1 and u_2. We mark u_1v_1 and thus $S = N[u_1] \cup N[v_1]$. Note that $V(G) \setminus S$ is a set of three degree-4 vertices in G. Hence, by using that there are 12 edges leaving $V(G) \setminus S$, there is exactly one edge within $S \setminus \{u_1, v_1\}$. By symmetry, we assume that v_2 has only neighbors in $V(G) \setminus S$; that is, v_2 is adjacent to all vertices in $V(G) \setminus S$. Moreover, u_2 has at least one non-neighbor w in $V(G) \setminus S$. This implies that $\{u_2v_1, v_2w\}$ is an induced matching of size 2. This completes the case that G contains exactly two degree-3 vertices.

Next, we suppose that G contains exactly four degree-3 vertices $u_1, \ldots, u_4$.

Suppose there is a vertex v_1 that is adjacent to $u_1, \ldots, u_4$. We mark u_1v_1. Since 12 edges leave $V(G) \setminus S$, the graph $G[S]$ is a tree. Let w be a non-neighbor of u_2 in $V(G) \setminus S$ and v_2 be a neighbor of u_1 beside v_1. Since w has four neighbors $wv_2 \in E(G)$. This implies that $\{u_2v_1, v_2w\}$ is an induced matching of size 2.

Suppose there is a vertex v_1 that is adjacent to exactly three degree-3 vertices, say u_1, u_2, u_3. Let v_2, v_3 be the neighbors of u_1 beside v_1. We mark u_1v_1. Hence u_4 is contained in the independent set $V(G) \setminus S$ and adjacent to v_2, v_3 and the degree-4 neighbor of v_1. Hence, by using that there are 11 edges leaving $V(G) \setminus S$, there is exactly one edge within $S \setminus \{u_1, v_1\}$. By symmetry, we assume that $u_2v_2 \notin E(G)$. This implies that $\{u_2v_1, u_4v_2\}$ is an induced matching of size 2.

Suppose there is a vertex v_1 that is adjacent to exactly two degree-3 vertices, say u_1, u_2, but no vertex is adjacent to more than two degree-3 vertices. This implies that $u_3, u_4 \in V(G) \setminus S$. Let v_2, v_3 be the neighbors of u_1 beside v_1. We mark u_1v_1. Since no degree-3 vertex is contained in a triangle and $\{u_1, \ldots, u_4\}$ is an independent set, u_2 is adjacent to v_2 or v_3; by symmetry, say v_2. Because there are 10 edges leaving $V(G) \setminus S$, there are exactly two edges within $S \setminus \{u_1, v_1\}$. Thus there is a degree-4 neighbor x of v_1 that has only neighbors in $V(G) \setminus S$. Furthermore, there is a non-neighbor w of v_2 in $V(G) \setminus S$ (note that $w = u_3$ or $w = u_4$ is possible). This implies that $\{u_1v_2, wx\}$ is an induced matching of size 2.

Suppose now that all degree-4 vertices are adjacent to at most one degree-3 vertex. This implies that there are at least 3-times as many degree-4 vertices as degree-3 vertices, which is a contradiction that G has order 10. This completes the case that G contains exactly four degree-3 vertices.

If G contains at least six degree-3 vertices, then at least 18 edges leave the set of degree-3 vertices, but at most 16 edges leave the set of degree-4 vertices, which is the final contradiction. □

A vertex v is a *cut vertex* of a graph G if $G - v$ has more components than G and a *block* is a maximal 2-connected subgraph of G.

Claim 12. *There is no cut vertex v such that there is a block B of order 10 with $v \in V(B)$ such that B contains only one cut vertex of G.*

Proof of Claim 12. For a contradiction, we assume that such a configuration exists. Suppose there is an edge f in B at distance at least 2 from v. We mark f and thus $|S| + i(G') \leq 9$, which is a contradiction. Thus all edges in B are at distance at most 1 from v. Note that there are at most three vertices at distance 1 from v and hence at most three vertices at distance 2 from v, which is a contradiction. □

Note the following: suppose we mark an edge incident to a degree-3 and degree-4 vertex. Thus $|S| = 7$. If $i(G') = 3$, then Claim 11 and 12 imply that G' has non-trivial components and at least two edges join S and these non-trivial components. This simplifies the proofs of the next claims significantly.

Claim 13. *No degree-4 vertex is adjacent to four degree-3 vertices.*

Proof of Claim 13. For a contradiction, we assume that there is a vertex v that is adjacent to four degree-3 vertices $u_1, \ldots, u_4$. We mark u_1v; that is, $|S| = 7$ and at most 12 edges join S and I'. Every vertex in I' has degree 4 because there are only two degree-4 vertices which might be adjacent to a vertex in I' (Claim 9). Thus $i(G') = 3$ and G is a graph of order 10, which is a contradiction to Claim 11. □

Claim 14. *No degree-4 vertex is adjacent to three degree-3 vertices.*

Proof of Claim 14. For a contradiction, we assume that there is a vertex v that is adjacent to three degree-3 vertices u_1, u_2, u_3. If possible choose v and u_1 such that u_1v is contained in a C_4. Let v_1, v_2 be the neighbors of u_1 beside v. We mark u_1v; that is, $|S| = 7$ and at most 13 edges join S and I'. If $i(G') \geq 4$, then two degree-3 vertices share a common edge which contradicts Claim 9. For contradiction, we assume that $i(G') = 3$. By Claim 11 and 12, at least two edges join S and $V(G) \setminus (S \cup I')$. Hence at most 11 edges join S and I'. Thus there is at least one degree-3 vertex $w \in I'$ that is adjacent to the three degree-4 vertices in S. If there are three degree-3 vertices in I', then all are adjacent to v_1 which is a contradiction to Claim 13. If there are two degree-3 vertices in I', then S induces a tree and both degree-3 vertices in I' are adjacent to both v_1 and v_2. Thus v_1 is a vertex adjacent to three degree-3 vertices and v_1w is contained in a C_4, which is a contradiction to our choice of u_1 and v.

Therefore, I' contains two degree-4 vertices and the degree-3 vertex w, and exactly two edges join S and $V(G) \setminus (S \cup I')$. Thus there are two vertices $x, y \notin \{u_1, v\}$ such that one is a neighbor of u_1, one is a neighbor of v, and x has no neighbor in $V(G) \setminus (S \cup I')$ but y does. Marking x with its neighbor in $\{u_1, v\}$ instead of u_1v leads to a contradiction and this completes the proof of the claim. □

Claim 15. *No degree-4 vertex is adjacent to two degree-3 vertices.*

Proof of Claim 15. For a contradiction, we assume that there is a vertex v that is adjacent to two degree-3 vertices u_1, u_2. If possible choose v and u_1 such that u_1v is contained in a 4-cycle C and if possible choose C to contain two degree-3 vertices. Let v_1, v_2 be the neighbors of u_1 beside v. We mark u_1v; that is, $|S| = 7$ and at most 14 edges join S and I'. For a contradiction, we assume $i(G') \geq 3$. Let x_1, x_2 be the degree-4 neighbors of v. Note that v_1, v_2 and x_1, x_2 are adjacent to at most one degree-3 vertex and to at most two degree-3 vertices in I', respectively; that is, I' contains at most two degree-3 vertices. If I' contains two degree-3 vertices w_1, w_2, then, by symmetry of v_1, v_2, we obtain $N(w_i) = \{v_i, x_1, x_2\}$. Thus x_1 is a degree-4 vertex adjacent to two degree-3 vertices and $x_1w_1x_2w_2x_1$ is a 4-cycle containing two degree-3 vertices. Our choice of v implies that there is an edge joining u_2 and v_1 or v_2, which is a contradiction to Claim 14.

Thus we assume that I' contains at most one degree-3 vertex. A degree counting argument implies that $i(G') = 3$ and hence at least 11 edges join S and I'. Claim 11 and 12 imply that there are at most 12 edges joining S and I'. It follows that S induces a tree.

Suppose v_1 has no neighbor in $V(G) \setminus (S \cup I')$. Thus $N(v_1) = I' \cup \{u_1\}$. Let w be a non-neighbor of u_2 in I'. Marking v_1w instead of u_1v leads to a contradiction because the edges v_1w and u_1v are independent and at most one vertex in $G - (S \cup I')$ becomes an isolated vertex.

Thus, by symmetry in $\{v_1, v_2\}$, both v_1 and v_2 have a neighbor in $V(G) \setminus (S \cup I')$. By symmetry in $\{x_1, x_2\}$, we may assume that x_1 has no neighbor in $V(G) \setminus (S \cup I')$. Hence $N(x_1) = I' \cup \{v\}$. Let w' be a non-neighbor of v_1 in I'. Similar as above, marking x_1w' instead of u_1v leads to a contradiction. □

Claim 16. $\delta(G) \geq 4$.

Proof of Claim 16. For a contradiction, we assume that there is a vertex v which is adjacent to a degree-3 vertices u. Choose u, v such that uv is contained in as many 4-cycles as possible. Let v_1, v_2 be the neighbors of u beside v and x_1, x_2, x_3 be the neighbors of v beside u. We mark uv; that is, $|S| = 7$ and at most 15 edges join S and I'.

If I' does not contain a degree-3 vertex, then $i(G') \leq 3$. Suppose I' contains a degree-3 vertex w. By Claim 15, we conclude $N(w) = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ and thus, by our choice of uv, the set $S \setminus \{u, v\}$ induces a graph of size at least 2, which in turn implies that at most 11 edges join S and I'. Hence $i(G') \leq 3$.

Therefore, we may assume that $i(G') = 3$ and Claim 11 and 12 imply that there are at most 13 edges joining S and I'. If I' contains a degree-3 vertex, then with the same argumentation as above there are at least two edges within $S \setminus \{u, v\}$ but then at most nine edges join S and I', which is a contradiction to the fact there is at most one degree-3 vertex in I'.

Thus we may assume that I' contains three degree-4 vertices. A degree sum argument implies that S induces a tree and exactly three edges join S and $V(G) \setminus (S \cup I')$. If

this three edges are incident with a common vertex $z \notin S$ and z has degree 3, then z is contained in a 4-cycle and this contradicts the choice of u and v because S induces a tree. Thus deleting vertices in S does not lead to isolated vertices in $G - (S \cup I')$.

Suppose v_1 or v_2, by symmetry say v_1, has at least one neighbor in $V(G) \setminus (S \cup I')$. Let $w \in I'$ be a non-neighbor of v_1. By symmetry in $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$, we conclude that x_1 has no neighbor in $V(G) \setminus (S \cup I')$ and hence marking $x_1 w$ instead of uv leads to a contradiction.

Therefore, we may assume that $N(v_i) = \{u\} \cup I'$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$. By symmetry, x_1 has a neighbor in $V(G) \setminus (S \cup I')$ and a non-neighbor $w \in I'$. Marking $v_1 w$ instead of uv leads to a contradiction, which completes the proof of the claim. □

Claim 17. *G triangle-free.*

Proof of Claim 17. For a contradiction, we assume that there is an edge uv which is contained in a triangle. Choose uv such that it is contained in as many triangles as possible. We mark uv. If uv is contained in at least two triangles, then $|S| \leq 6$ and at most 10 edges join S and I'. Thus $i(G') \leq 2$, which is a contradiction.

Therefore, we assume that uv is contained in one triangle $uvwu$ only. Moreover, we choose the triangle edge uv such that it is contained in as many 4-cycles as possible. Thus $|S| = 7$ and at most 14 edges join S and I'. Hence $i(G') = 3$. Furthermore, $S \setminus \{u, v\}$ induces a graph on at most one edge. Let $x \in I'$. If $xw \in E(G)$, then either uw or vw is contained in a triangle and in two 4-cycles, which is a contradiction to our choice of uv. This implies that $S \setminus \{u, v, w\} \cup I'$ induces a complete bipartite graph. Let $y \in N(x)$. Marking xy instead of uv leads to a contradiction. □

Since we may assume from now on that G is triangle-free, we use the following notation in the remaining part of the proof. The marked edge will be denoted by uv. Moreover, let $N(u) = \{v, u_1, u_2, u_3\}$ and $N(v) = \{u, v_1, v_2, v_3\}$. Note that all these vertices are distinct and that $|S| = 8$. Furthermore, let $S' = S \setminus \{u, v\}$. This implies that at most 18 edges join I' and S. For a contradiction, we assume that $i(G') \geq 2$; that is, at least eight edges join I' and S'. Let $I' = \{w_1, w_2, \ldots\}$. Let $m_{S'}$ be the number of edges in $G[S']$. If $m_{S'} \geq 6$, then at most six edges join I' and S' and this a contradiction. Since G is triangle-free, $G[S']$ is bipartite.

Claim 18. $\Delta(G[S']) \leq 2$.

Proof of Claim 18. By symmetry, we assume for contradiction that v_1 is adjacent to u_1, u_2, u_3. Suppose first that $m_{S'} \geq 4$ and hence $i(G') = 2$. By symmetry, $u_1 v_2 \in E(G)$. If $u_1 v_3 \in E(G)$, then $i(G') = 2$ and $N(w_i) = \{u_2, u_3, v_2, v_3\}$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Thus $G = C_5^2$, which is a contradiction. Hence we suppose $u_1 v_3 \notin E(G)$. We mark instead of uv the edge $u_1 v_1$. If u_1 has a neighbor in I', say w_1, then $w_2 v_3$ is independent of $u_1 v_1$, which leads to a contradiction. If u_1 has no neighbor in I', then $w_1 v_3 w_2$ is a path independent from $u_1 v_1$, which leads to a contradiction.

Therefore, we may suppose $m_{S'} = 3$. If $i(G') = 3$, then $n(G) = 11$ and u_1 has a non-neighbor in I', say w_1. Hence uu_1 together with $w_1 v_2$ is an induced matching of

size 2, which is a contradiction. Thus $i(G') = 2$ and four edges join S' and $V(G) \setminus (S \cup I')$. The fact that $i(G') = 2$ and $m_{S'} = 3$ imply that v_2 and v_3 have at least one neighbor in $V(G) \setminus (S \cup I')$. By symmetry in $\{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$, we assume that u_1 has no neighbor in $V(G) \setminus (S \cup I')$. Thus marking u_1v_1 instead of uv leads to a contradiction. □

Claim 19. *$G[S']$ contains no two independent edges.*

Proof of Claim 19. By symmetry, we assume that u_1v_1 and u_2v_2 are independent edges. This implies that at most 14 edges join S' and I' and hence $i(G') \in \{2, 3\}$. We now mark u_1v_1 and u_2v_2 instead of uv. Suppose first that $i(G') = 3$. Let $S'' = N[u_1] \cup N[u_2] \cup N[v_1] \cup N[v_2]$ and $G'' = G - S''$. It is easily checked that $i(G'') + |S| \leq 18$, which is a contradiction.

Therefore, we may assume $i(G') = 2$. Suppose that $m_{S'} \geq 3$. Hence at most four edges join S and $V(G) \setminus (S \cup I')$. This implies that $|S'' \cup S \cup I'| \leq 14$ and at most 12 edges join $S'' \cup S \cup I'$ and $V(G) \setminus (S'' \cup S \cup I')$; that is, $|S'' \cup S \cup I'| + i(G'') \leq |S''| + i(G'') \leq 17$, which is a contradiction.

Therefore, we may assume $m_{S'} = 2$. Since G is triangle-free, we conclude that w_i for $i \in \{1, 2\}$ is adjacent to u_3, v_3 and to exactly one vertex of the two marked edges, respectively. Thus both u_3 and v_3 have exactly one neighbor in $V(G) \setminus (S \cup I')$. Moreover, exactly four edges join $\{u_1u_1, u_2v_2\}$ and $V(G) \setminus (S \cup I')$; that is, $|S'' \cup S \cup I'| \leq 14$ and at most 14 edges join $S'' \cup S \cup I'$ and $V(G) \setminus (S'' \cup S \cup I')$, which implies the contradiction $|S'' \cup S \cup I'| + i(G'') \leq |S''| + i(G'') \leq 17$. □

Claim 19 implies that $G[S']$ has at most one nontrivial component. Claims 17, 18 and 19 imply that the nontrivial component of $G[S']$, if it exists, is a C_4, a P_4, a P_3 or a P_2.

Claim 20. *If it exists, then the nontrivial component of $G[S']$ is not a C_4.*

Proof of Claim 20. For a contradiction, we assume that the nontrivial component of $G[S']$ is a C_4. By symmetry, $u_1v_1u_2v_2u_1$ is this C_4. Since at most 10 edges join S and I', we have $i(G') = 2$. Furthermore, since G is triangle-free and by symmetry in $\{w_1, w_2\}$, we may assume that $N(w_1) = \{u_1, u_2, u_3, v_3\}$ and $N(w_2) = \{u_3, v_1, v_2, v_3\}$. Marking u_1v_1 instead of uv leads to a contradiction to Claim 19. □

Claim 21. *If it exists, then the nontrivial component of $G[S']$ is not a P_4.*

Proof of Claim 21. For a contradiction, we assume that the nontrivial component P of $G[S']$ is a P_4. By symmetry, $u_1v_2u_2v_1$ is this P_4; that is, at most 12 edges join S and I' and hence $i(G') \in \{2, 3\}$. Suppose first $i(G') = 3$. This implies that $n(G) = 11$ and $N(u_3) = \{w_1, w_2, w_3, u\}$; by symmetry, say w_1 is nonadjacent to u_2 and v_2. Since $\{u_3w_1, u_2v_2\}$ is an induced matching of size 2, which is a contradiction, we may assume that $i(G') = 2$; that is, exactly four edges join S and $V(G) \setminus (S \cup I')$. Since w_i for $i \in \{1, 2\}$ has at most two neighbors in P, we conclude that w_i is adjacent to u_3 and v_3. Moreover, u_3 and v_3 have a neighbor in $V(G) \setminus (S \cup I')$. Suppose at least one of the endvertices of P

is adjacent to both w_1 and w_2, say u_1. Marking uu_1 instead of uv leads to a contradiction because v_3 has a neighbor in $V(G) \setminus (S \cup I')$. Thus we may assume that u_2 and v_2 have a neighbor in I'. Then, marking u_2v_2 instead of uv leads to a contradiction because u_3 and v_3 have a neighbor in $V(G) \setminus (S \cup I')$. □

In the following we choose uv such that $m_{S'}$ is maximal.

Claim 22. *If it exists, then the nontrivial component of $G[S']$ is not a P_3.*

Proof of Claim 22. For a contradiction, we assume that the nontrivial component P of $G[S']$ is a P_3. By symmetry, $u_1v_1u_2$ is this P_3. If v_1 has a neighbor in I', say w_1, then $N(w_1) = \{u_3, v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ because G is triangle-free. The neighborhood of vv_1 induces a graph of size at least 4, which is a contradiction to the previous claims. Thus we may assume that v_1 has a neighbor in $V(G) \setminus (S \cup I')$.

If u_1 or u_2, say u_1 has a neighbor in I', say w_1, then since w_1 is adjacent to u_2 or u_3, neighborhood of uu_1 induces a graph on at least three edges, which is a contradiction to the previous claims. Thus we may assume that both u_1 and u_2 have two neighbors in $V(G) \setminus (S \cup I')$.

Since G is 4-regular, w_1 is adjacent to at least one vertex in P, which is a contradiction to our assumptions. □

Claim 23. *If it exists, then the nontrivial component of $G[S']$ is not a P_2.*

Proof of Claim 23. For a contradiction, we assume that the nontrivial component of $G[S']$ is an edge. By symmetry, let u_1v_1 be this edge. If u_1 or v_1, say u_1, is adjacent to a vertex in I', say w_1, then w_1 is nonadjacent to u_2, u_3, v_1 according to our choice of uv, which is a contradiction to the 4-regularity of G. This implies that the neighborhood of every vertex in I' is $\{u_2, u_3, v_2, v_3\}$. Since $i(G') \geq 2$, the neighborhood of the edge u_2w_1 induces a graph on at least two edges, which is a contradiction to our choice of uv. □

Claims 17-23 imply that G has girth at least 5. This implies that every vertex in $V(G) \setminus S$ has at most two neighbors in S and hence $i(G') = 0$, which is the final contradiction. □

References

[1] L.D. Andersen, The strong chromatic index of a cubic graph is at most 10, Discrete Math. 108 (1992) 231-252.

[2] K. Cameron, Induced matchings, Discrete Appl. Math. 24 (1989) 97-102.

[3] D.W. Cranston, Strong edge-coloring of graphs with maximum degree 4 using 22 colors, Discrete Math. 306 (2006) 2772-2778.

[4] J. Edmonds, Paths, trees, and flowers, Canad. J. Math. 17 (1965) 449-467.

[5] R.J. Faudree, R.H. Schelp, A. Gyárfás, and Zs. Tuza, The strong chromatic index of graphs, Ars Comb. 29B (1990) 205-211.

[6] P. Horák, H. Qing, and W.T. Trotter, Induced Matchings in Cubic Graphs, J. Graph Theory 17 (1993) 151-160.

[7] F. Joos, D. Rautenbach, and T. Sasse, Induced Matchings in Subcubic Graphs, SIAM J. Discrete Math. 28 (2014) 468-473.

[8] F. Joos, Induced Matchings in Graphs of Bounded Maximum Degree, 2014, arXiv:1406.2440.

[9] R.J. Kang, M. Mnich, and T. Müller, Induced matchings in subcubic planar graphs, SIAM J. Discrete Math. 26 (2012) 1383-1411.

[10] L. Lovász and M.D. Plummer, Matching Theory, vol. 29, Annals of Discrete Mathematics, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1986.

[11] V.V. Lozin, On maximum induced matchings in bipartite graphs, Inf. Process. Lett. 81 (2002) 7-11.

[12] M. Molloy and B. Reed, A bound on the strong chromatic index of a graph, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 69 (1997) 103-109.

[13] L.J. Stockmeyer and V.V. Vazirani, NP-completeness of some generalizations of the maximum matching problem, Inf. Process. Lett. 15 (1982) 14-19.

[14] V.G. Vizing, On an estimate of the chromatic class of a p-graph, Diskret. Analiz. 3 (1964) 25-30.